Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/622,327	CODY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Daniel S. Metzmaier	1712	
All Participants:	Status of Applicat	tion: <u>Restricted</u>	
(1) <u>Daniel S. Metzmaier</u> .	(3)		
(2) Mark A. Farley.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 16 February 2006	Time: ~ 3:30 PM		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:] Applicant's representative) No		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: none.			
Claims discussed: none.	·		
Prior art documents discussed: none.	·		
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WH	AT WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	tion. The examiner will provide eparate record of the substance	e a written summary of the sub e of the interview, since the in	ostance
		Daniel & Met.	£.
		DANIEL S. METZNAJE PRIMARY EXAMINES	R
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/Applicant's Represen	tative Signature – if appropriate	e)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: examiner inquired regarding the status of the latest filings in the case. Applicants' representative indicated no response was filed to the last Official Office Action. It was noted that an IDS was filed in Suptember. Said filing was noted to not be a response to the Office Action.